Memorandum

Date:

March 23, 2010

To:

Golden Gate Division

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Redwood City Area

File No.:

330.13700.10-0

Subject:

CHAPTER 7 COMMAND INSPECTIONS

On March 23, 2010, Sergeant Stacey Loftus, ID 13700, and Office Technician Kelly Kettell, ID A14321, conducted a Command Level Chapter 7 Inspection of the Redwood City Area. All inspected items were noted on the CHP 680T, Checklist for Command Illness and Injury Case Management. The CHP 680A, Exceptions Document was utilized to document four findings needing Corrective Action which were corrected immediately. If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact Sergeant Stacey Loftus at (650) 369-6261.

G. T. HAMMOND, Captain

Commander

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM FXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Redwood City	Golden Gate	7
Inspected by:	•	Date:
Sergeant Loftus	03/23/2010	

. uge 1 of 2

. age 1012			
number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This	Inspection documents		
TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level ☐ Executive Office Level		Total hours expended on the inspection: 6	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required: ☐ Yes	Divisio	rd to: Golden Gate on ate: April 1, 2010	
Chapter Inspection:			
Inspector's Comments Regar	rdina lı	nnovative Practices:	
moposior o commento regar	unig n	movativo i radiloco.	
,			
Command Suggestions for S	tatewic	de Improvement:	
spector's Findings:			
During the inspection, the follo	wing o	liscrepancies were noted:	
Finding #1 (question #15, Con completed within 24 hours.	nmand	Injury Illness Case Manageme	ent): 4 of the 27 records were not
Finding #2 (question #16, Con completed within 3 days.	nmand	Injury Illness Case Manageme	ent): 11 or the 27 records were not
Finding #3 (question #17, Con within 5 days.	nmand	Injury Illness Case Manageme	ent): 11 of 27 records were not mailed
Finding #4 (question #28, Con sent within 24 hours.	nmand	Injury Illness Case Manageme	ent): 3 of the 27 records were not
Commander's Response: 🗹	Concu	r or 🗌 Do Not Concur (Do Not C	concur shall document basis for response)
			-51
Inspector's Comments: Shall etc.)	address	non concurrence by commander (e.	g., findings revised, findings unchanged,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

FXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

, age 2 of 2

Command:	Division:	Chapter:			
Redwood City Golden Gate		7			
Inspected by:	Date:				
Sergeant Loftus		03/23/2010			

Required Action:					
	STEEL THE STEEL STEEL STEEL			TELLINE STATE	
Corrective Action Plan/Timelin	ne				
Timeline HA / Automation HAT Once		 	4 - 5 11 0	7	4

Finding #1 (question #15, Command Injury Illness Case Management): 4 of the 27 records were not completed within 24 hours.

Response: The Redwood City Area will discuss this at the next staff meeting and provide on-going training to personnel with regard to meeting timelines. Area has implemented a checklist with procedures and timelines. This will prevent future occurrences.

Finding #2 (question #16, Command Injury Illness Case Management): 11 of the 27 records were not signed within 3 days.

Response: The Redwood City Area will discuss this at the next staff meeting and provide on-going training to personnel with regard to meeting timelines. Area has implemented a checklist with procedures and timelines. This will prevent future occurrences.

Finding #3 (question #17, Command Injury Illness Case Management): 11 of 27 records were not mailed within 5 days.

Response: The Redwood City Area will discuss this at the next staff meeting and provide on-going training to personnel with regard to meeting timelines. Area has implemented a checklist with procedures and timelines. This will prevent future occurrences.

Finding #4 (question #28, Command Injury Illness Case Management): 3 of the 27 records were not sent within 24 hours.

Response: The Redwood City Area will discuss this at the next staff meeting and provide on-going training to personnel with regard to meeting timelines. Area has implemented a checklist with procedures and timelines. This will prevent future occurrences.

		NA THE RESERVE TO THE
Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer.	95//	2/2-/200
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	As House	3/25/2010
	INSRECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
	A.A.	3/23/2010
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
employee	1161	11 10 10
Concur Do not concur	09-1	19.12.10

Page

1 of 3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

JMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

Command:	Division:	Number:
Redwood City	Golden Gate	330
Evaluated by:	Date:	
Sergeant Stacey	03/23/2010	
Assisted by:	Date:	
OT Kelly Kettell	03/23/2010	

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. A "No," "N/A," or any other discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.							
TYPE OF INSPECTION	Lead Inspe	ector's Signati	иге:				
☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	8	(1)					
Executive Office Level Voluntary Self-Inspection	0	er's Signature		Date:			
Follow-up Required:	Command	3/25/2019					
Yes No	0		.~!				
For applicable policy, refer to: HPM 10.7							
ote: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" se	ction shal	l be utilize	d for expl	lanation.			
 Has the command posted the required STD e13708, Notice to State Employees, in a prominent place? 	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:			
Has the command posted a Safety and Health Protection on the job notice in a prominent place?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:			
3. Has the command posted a Cal-OSHA S-11 notice in a conspicuous place?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:			
4. Has the commander prepared a Commander's Memorandum for distribution to injured employees expressing their desire to assist the employee resume normal duty, outlining departmental policy, and employee rights and responsibilities?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:			
 Does the command maintain a current CHP 121D, Injury and Illness Status Report? 	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:			
6. Has the command provided required notification via Comm-Net to the appropriate next level of command regarding employees who are off duty as a result of occupational injury or illness for 30 calendar days or more?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:			
7. Does the command maintain a current OSHA 300?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:			
Is the OSHA 300 log secured due to medical confidentiality and has it been regularly updated hased on employee's health status changes?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:			

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
POPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

JMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

9.	Have injury and illnesses been recorded in the OSHA 300 log within six workdays of the specific occurrence?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
10). Has a Cal-OSHA number been assigned to every injury and illness which is recorded in the OSHA 300 log?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
11	Has the command forwarded the previous year's OSHA 300 log to their respective Division (January 15 th for Areas, communication centers, inspection facilities, and Academy or February 15 th for Divisions and Headquarters commands)?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
12	2. Was/or is the OSHA 300A (Summary of Work Related Injury and Illnesses) posted in a prominent place from February 1 st of the following year until April 30 th ?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	5. Does the command maintain a current five year record of the OSHA 300 log which is current?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	 Does the command maintain a current five year record of CHP 121s which is current? 	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15	Have CHP 121s been completed by a supervisor within 24 hours of the notification of the alleged injury or illness?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: 4 of the 27 records were not completed within 24 hours.
	Has the commander or his/her designee signed all CHP 121s within three days of notification of the injury or illness?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: 11 of the 27 records were not completed within 3 days.
	Have all CHP 121s been filed with the State Compensation Insurance Fund (SCIF) within five days of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: 11 of the 27 records were not mailed within 5 days due to # 16. They were mailed within 2 days of being signed by supervisor.
	. Have all CHP 121As been completed within 24 hours of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
19	. Have all CHP 121As been signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of the notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	. Have all CHP 121Bs been completed and signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
21	Have all CHP 121Cs been completed and signed by the affected employee (if possible) within 24 hours of notification of the injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
PERARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

JMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 7

Command Illness and Injury Case Management

22. Have all CHP 442s been updated accordingly within three days of notification of an employee injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
23. Have supervisors (if applicable) provided CHP 443s to the employees' medical care providers?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
24. Have supervisors provided CHP 600s to employees within 24 hours of the notification of an injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
25. Have supervisors provided CHP 601s to employees within 24 hours of the notification of an injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
26. Have supervisors provided SCIF 3301s to employees within 24 hours of the notification of an injury, illness, or hazardous exposure?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
27. Has the command prepared and posted/distributed the memorandum advising employees of the command's authorized medical providers and/or facilities	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
28. Has the command sent Comm-Net messages to the Office of Risk Management (ORM) Disability and Retirement Unit (DRU) within 24 hours of the notification of a nondisabling or disabling occupational injury or illness?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: 3 of the 27 records were not sent within 24 hours.
29. Does the command have copies of approved medical care providers posted for employees?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
30. Have all employees who voluntarily participated in the Annual Fitness Challenge completed a medical prescreening questionnaire?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
31. Has the command provided an Options Letter (if applicable) to an employee who has been medically determined to be permanently precluded from returning to their regular job duties?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: No Instances
32. In the event of an employee's serious injury or death, was the appropriate assistant commissioner or designee contacted immediately?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: No Instances
33. In the event of an employee's serious injury or death, were the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH) and the Office of Risk Management contacted by telephone within eight hours?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: No Instances